The Effect of Supervision and Awareness of Taxpayers on Increasing Regional Tax Revenue and Its Impact on Taxpayer Compliance

Helen Susanto¹, Weti Kurniawati² Wawat Hermawati³

^{1,2,3}Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Cirebon ^{*1}Email: helensusanto621@gmail.com, ²Email: weti_kurniawati@stiecirebon.ac.id, ³Email: wawatherma01@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

- Submitted:Purpose of the study The purpose of this study was to measure the effect of
supervision and awareness of taxpayers on increasing local tax revenues and their
impact on taxpayer compliance in the West Region Tax UPTD, Cirebon Regency
Regional Revenue Management Agency.
- Accepted: Research method— This study uses a quantitative approach to the variables of supervision and awareness of taxpayers, while the research instrument is in the form of a questionnaire with a path analysis approach
- Published:Result— The results obtained: 1) simultaneously supervision and awareness of
taxpayers, 2) partially: supervision, and 3) taxpayer awareness has a significant effect
on increasing local tax revenues, 4) direct supervision has a significant effect on
taxpayer compliance by (0.259) 2 X 100% = 0.010, meaning that the level of taxpayer
compliance is not influenced by supervision of 0.010 while the remaining 0.090 is
influenced by other factors. The direct effect of taxpayer awareness on taxpayer
compliance is (0.786)2 X 100% = 0.617, meaning that the level of taxpayer compliance
is influenced by taxpayer awareness of 0.083, the remaining 32.3% is influenced by
other factors, 5) directly increases tax revenue area has a significant effect on taxpayer
compliance by (0.144)2 X 100% = 0.020, meaning that the level of taxpayer compliance
is influenced by an increase in local tax revenue of 0.020 or 20% while the remaining
80% is influenced by other factors.

Conclusion— Taxpayers need to be aware of their obligations in paying taxes by understanding the taxes paid for the purposes of community and state development. Because so far knowledge of the allocation of taxes paid is considered not right on target, so there needs to be better socialization.

Keywords: Supervision, Taxpayer Awareness, Increased Regional Tax Revenue and Taxpayer Compliance



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International



http://portal.xjurnal.com/index.php/ijmeba Vol 1 No 1 January 2022 E-ISSN 2962-0953

License.

INTRODUCTION

Tax is a taxpayer's contribution to the state based on the Law of the Republic of Indonesia No. 32 of 2004 concerning Regional Government, specifically Article 157 of Law no. 32 of 2004 and article 6 of Law no. 33 of 2004 explains that the sources of Regional Original Income consist of (1) regional taxes (2) regional levies (3) the results of regional wealth management (4) other legitimate regional original revenues.

Tax revenue can be increased by expanding the tax base. The more time goes by, the awareness of the existence of taxes, the compliance of taxpayers to pay taxes in accordance with government (regional/state) tax laws and regulations, the more important because they are used as state development financing, so that every year the government sets revenue targets and taxpayer compliance targets getting improved.

Cirebon Regency Regional Regulation Number 9 of 2018 concerning Amendments to Cirebon Regency Regional Regulation Number 2 of 2011 concerning Regional Taxes. In the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 28 of 2009 concerning Regional Taxes and Regional Levies Article 1 regional levies are one part of regional original income.

The awareness of taxpayers to pay taxes has not reached the expected level. Public awareness is still lacking on the importance of paying taxes, the payments often experience delays in reporting (Jotopurnomo and Mangoting, 2013). This awareness of paying taxes does not only lead to an attitude of obedience, obedience and discipline, but is also followed by a critical attitude. Taxpayers' compliance in carrying out their tax obligations (Sutedi, 2011:221), according to (Mardiasmo, 2011:59) tax regulations are obeyed by taxpayers. Imam (2014) that tax sanctions have a positive effect on taxpayer compliance. Ngadiman and Huslin (2015) that tax sanctions have a positive effect on taxpayer compliance, while Ningsih and Rahayu (2016) tax sanctions have no effect on taxpayer compliance.

Supervision is an administrative function to prevent deviations or deviations from the policy plans that have been formulated previously. Supervision can assist organizations in assessing whether a job can be carried out effectively. One of the linkages of supervision is with the level of taxpayer compliance. Due to the achievement of the level of taxpayer compliance, each region is creatively able to create and encourage increasing sources of local revenue through supervision. The fact is that at this time the budget target for local revenue receipts in Cirebon Regency has not yet been achieved. For this reason, it is necessary to conduct a study or research regarding: how is the effect of joint supervision and awareness of taxpayers on increasing local tax revenues and their impact on taxpayer compliance in the West Region Tax UPTD, Cirebon Regency Regional Revenue Management Agency? The main objective of the study is to measure the effect of supervision and awareness of taxpayers on increasing local tax

revenues and their impact on taxpayer compliance in the West Region Tax UPTD, Cirebon Regency Regional Revenue Management Agency, starting from (1) measuring the effect of supervision and awareness of taxpayers simultaneously on increasing local tax revenues, (2) measuring the effect of supervision and awareness of taxpayers simultaneously on increasing local tax revenues and their impact on taxpayer compliance in the West Region Tax UPTD, Regional Revenue Management Agency of Cirebon Regency.

The results of this study are expected to contribute to efforts to increase local tax revenue by knowing the factors that influence taxpayer compliance and awareness in the West Region Tax UPTD, Cirebon Regency Regional Revenue Management Agency.

METHOD

The data set studied on "The Effect of Supervision and Awareness of Taxpayers on Increasing Regional Tax Revenue and Its Impact on Taxpayer Compliance in the Western Region Tax UPTD, Cirebon Regency Regional Revenue Management Agency", is grouped into four parts, namely: supervision (X_1), awareness (X_2), increased local tax revenue (Y1), and taxpayer compliance (Y2). The data set is quantitative data from the respondents' answers to the distributed tests and questionnaires. The qualification process is carried out by giving a score to each question item filled in by the respondent, then tabulation is made. The figures presented are taken from processing raw data to produce the information needed to find answers to research objectives.

The effect of supervision (X1) of taxpayers on increasing local tax revenues in the West Regional Tax UPTD, Regional Revenue Management Agency of Cirebon Regency. The local revenue sector plays a very important role, empirically it is proven that the higher the supervision, the higher the increase in local tax revenue.

The effect of taxpayer awareness (X2) on increasing local tax revenue is public awareness as a compliant taxpayer, which is closely related to public perception of taxes. Empirically it is also proven that the higher the awareness of taxpayers, the higher the increase in local tax revenues.

The effect of joint supervision and awareness of taxpayers on increasing local tax revenues (Y1), according to G.R. Terry in Tilawatil (2014: 6) awareness is an element in humans to understand reality and how they act or behave towards reality. Jatmiko (2006) explains that awareness is a state of knowing or understanding. Irianto (2005) describes several forms of awareness that encourage taxpayers to pay taxes. Taxpayers will pay because tax payments are realized to have a strong legal basis and are an absolute obligation of every citizen. Empirically it is proven that the higher the supervision and awareness of taxpayers together, the higher the increase in local tax revenue.

According to Widodo (2010:9) that taxpayer compliance (Y2), an individual makes a decision between the choice of carrying out his obligations in carrying out taxes or even do tax evasion. This reflects a relationship between individuals as taxpayers and their country. According to Rahayu (2013:139) tax compliance is the act of taxpayers in fulfilling their tax

obligations in accordance with the provisions of the legislation and tax implementation regulations in force in a country. Empirically it is also proven that the higher the supervision and awareness of taxpayers, the higher the increase in local tax revenues and their impact on taxpayer compliance.

Based on the theoretical basis and concepts that have been described, this study will analyze the effect of supervision and awareness of taxpayers on increasing local tax revenues and their impact on taxpayer compliance in the West Region Tax UPTD, Regional Revenue Management Agency of Cirebon Regency. In this study, there are 4 (four) variables studied, namely supervision and awareness of taxpayers as independent variables, as the dependent variable of local revenue and taxpayer compliance as the dependent variable. The conceptual framework in this study is as follows:



Figure 1. Schematic Framework of Thinking

Based on the framework of thinking, the research hypothesis can be formulated on "The Effect of Supervision on Increasing Regional Tax Revenue in the West Region Tax UPTD, Cirebon Regency Regional Revenue Management Agency" as follows:

- a. Ho : There is no effect of supervision on increasing local tax revenue
- b. Ha : There is an effect of supervision on the increase in local tax revenue
- c. Ho : There is no effect of taxpayer awareness on increasing local tax revenue Ha : There is an effect of taxpayer awareness on increasing local tax revenue
- d. Ho: There is no effect of joint supervision and awareness of taxpayers on increasing local tax revenues
- Ha : There is a culture of influence of supervision and awareness of taxpayers together to increase local tax revenue.
- e. Ho: There is no effect of joint supervision and awareness of taxpayers on increasing local tax revenues and their impact on taxpayer compliance.
- Ha : There is an effect of joint supervision and awareness of taxpayers on increasing local tax revenues and their impact on taxpayer compliance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the responses of 30 respondents in the West Region Tax UPTD, Cirebon Regency Regional Revenue Management Agency to the instrument items of the taxpayer compliance variables studied, in table 1 descriptive statistics of taxpayer compliance data from each variable show the values: 1) mean, 2) median, 3) mode, 4) standard deviation (SD), 5) maximum and minimum, 6) range between maximum score and minimum score.

Table 1. Taxpayer Compliance Statistics (Y2)						
Supervision Taxpayer Locally- Taxpa						
			Awareness	generated	Compliance	
				revenue		
N	Valid	30	30	30	30	
IN	Missing	0	0	0	0	
Mean		59.4000	60.8667	60.9333	60.6333	
Std. Erro	or of Mean	1.11273	1.19744	1.20529	1.16903	
Median		59.0000	59.5000	58.0000	58.0000	
Mode		58.00 ^ª	57.00	58.00	58.00	
Std. Deviation		6.09466	6.55867	6.60164	6.40303	
Variance	2	37.145	43.016	43.582	40.999	
Skewnes	SS	.302	.676	.237	.289	
Std. Erro	or of Skewness	.427	.427	.427	.427	
Kurtosis		.786	075	378	236	
Std. Erro	or of Kurtosis	.833	.833	.833	.833	
Range		28.00	27.00	25.00	23.00	
Minimur	n	46.00	48.00	48.00	48.00	
Maximu	m	74.00	75.00	73.00	71.00	
Sum		1782.00	1826.00	1828.00	1819.00	

a. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

Based on the respondents' answers from the taxpayer compliance variable questionnaire, that the distribution of the maximum and minimum scores achieved in this variable were 71 and 48. The results of the calculation of the distribution score for the mean distribution were 60,633, the median was 58, the mode was 58 and the standard deviation was 6,403. The mean, median and mode scores are not much different and are still within a standard deviation. Based on the frequency distribution of each interval as shown in table 1, taxpayer compliance has a normal distribution tendency. Thus, the data on the taxpayer compliance variable distributed to the West Region Tax UPTD, Cirebon Regency Regional Revenue Management Agency is quite high.

Table 2. Statistic of Supervision(X ₁)							
	Supe		Taxpayer Awareness	Locally- Taxpay generated Complian revenue			
N	Valid Missing	30	30	30	30		



<u>http://portal.xjurnal.com/index.php/ijmeba</u> Vol 1 No 1 January 2022 **E-ISSN 2962-0953**

Mean	59.4000	60.8667	60.9333	60.6333
Std. Error of Mean	1.11273	1.19744	1.20529	1.16903
Median	59.0000	59.5000	58.0000	58.0000
Mode	58.00 ^a	57.00	58.00	58.00
Std. Deviation	6.09466	6.55867	6.60164	6.40303
Variance	37.145	43.016	43.582	40.999
Skewness	.302	.676	.237	.289
Std. Error of Skewness	.427	.427	.427	.427
Kurtosis	.786	075	378	236
Std. Error of Kurtosis	.833	.833	.833	.833
Range	28.00	27.00	25.00	23.00
Minimum	46.00	48.00	48.00	48.00
Maximum	74.00	75.00	73.00	71.00
Sum	1782.00	1826.00	1828.00	1819.00

a. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

Based on the respondents' answers from the control variable questionnaire, the distribution of the maximum and minimum scores achieved were 74 and 46. The results of the calculation of the mean distribution score were 59.40, the median was 59, the mode was 58 and the standard deviation was 6.094. The mean, median and mode scores are not much different and are still within one standard deviation. Based on the frequency distribution of each interval as shown in table 2, the research data on the monitoring variable has a normal distribution tendency. Thus, the data on the Supervision variable in the West Region Tax UPTD, Cirebon Regency Regional Revenue Management Agency is quite high.

Table 3. Statistic of Taxpayer Awareness (X2)							
		Supervision	ıpervision Taxpayer Locally- Taxı				
			Awareness	generated	Compliance		
				revenue			
N	Valid	30	30	30	30		
IN	Missing	0	0	0	0		
Mean		59.4000	60.8667	60.9333	60.6333		
Std. Error	of Mean	1.11273	1.19744	1.20529	1.16903		
Median		59.0000	59.5000	58.0000	58.0000		
Mode		58.00 ^ª	57.00	58.00	58.00		
Std. Deviation		6.09466	6.55867	6.60164	6.40303		
Variance		37.145	43.016	43.582	40.999		
Skewness	5	.302	.676	.237	.289		
Std. Error	of Skewness	.427	.427	.427	.427		
Kurtosis		.786	075	378	236		
Std. Error	of Kurtosis	.833	.833	.833	.833		
Range		28.00	27.00	25.00	23.00		
Minimum	า	46.00	48.00	48.00	48.00		
Maximun	n	74.00	75.00	73.00	71.00		
Sum		1782.00	1826.00	1828.00	1819.00		

Table 3. Statistic of Taxpayer Awareness (X2)



a. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

Based on the respondents' answers from the taxpayer awareness variable questionnaire, the maximum and minimum scores achieved were 73 and 48. The results of the calculation of the mean distribution score were 60.9333, the median was 58, the mode was 58 and the standard deviation was 6.558. The mean, median and mode scores are not much different and are still within one standard deviation. Based on the frequency distribution of each interval as shown in table 3, the data of the taxpayer awareness research has a normal distribution tendency. Thus, the data on the taxpayer awareness variable of the West Region Tax UPTD, Cirebon Regency Regional Revenue Management Agency is quite high.

	Table 4. Statistic of Locally-generated revenue (Y1)							
		Supervision	Taxpayer Awareness	Locally- generated	Taxpayer Compliance			
				revenue				
N	Valid	30	30	30	30			
IN	Missing	0	0	0	0			
Mean		59.4000	60.8667	60.9333	60.6333			
Std. Erro	r of Mean	1.11273	1.19744	1.20529	1.16903			
Median		59.0000	59.5000	58.0000	58.0000			
Mode		58.00 ^ª	57.00	58.00	58.00			
Std. Deviation		6.09466	6.55867	6.60164	6.40303			
Variance		37.145	43.016	43.582	40.999			
Skewnes	S	.302	.676	.237	.289			
Std. Erro	r of Skewness	.427	.427	.427	.427			
Kurtosis		.786	075	378	236			
Std. Erro	r of Kurtosis	.833	.833	.833	.833			
Range		28.00	27.00	25.00	23.00			
Minimun	n	46.00	48.00	48.00	48.00			
Maximur	n	74.00	75.00	73.00	71.00			
Sum		1782.00	1826.00	1828.00	1819.00			

a. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

Based on the respondents' answers from the taxpayer awareness variable questionnaire, that the distribution of the maximum and minimum scores achieved in this variable were 73 and 48. The results of the calculation of the distribution of these scores resulted in an average score (mean) of 60.933, the median of 58, the mode of 58 and standard deviation of 6.601. The mean, median and mode scores are not much different and are still within one standard deviation. Based on the frequency distribution of each interval as shown in table 4, the research data on Taxpayer Awareness has a normal distribution tendency. Thus, the variable data on Regional Tax Revenue in the West Region Tax UPTD, Cirebon Regency Regional Revenue Management Agency is quite high.

1. Validity Test Results

The results of the calculation of the validity test (SPSS version 21) of each question item score (1 to 15) to the total score of the questions on the Taxpayer Compliance variable (Y2) show the correlation coefficient value is greater than the correlation coefficient value (r) table (r table with the number of samples = N = 30 respondents with a 95% confidence level or 5% error obtained r table of 0.361), the Supervision variable (X1) shows the correlation coefficient value is greater than the correlation coefficient value (r) table (r table with the number of samples = N = 30 respondents value (r) table (r table with the number of samples = N = 30 respondents with a 95% confidence level or 5% error obtained r table of 0.361), the taxpayer awareness variable (X1) shows the correlation coefficient value is greater than the correlation coefficient value (r) table (r table with a total sample = N = 30 respondents with 95% confidence level or 5% error obtained r table of 0.361), while the local tax revenue variable (Y2) shows the coefficient value of k the correlation is greater than the value of the correlation coefficient (r) table (r table with the number of samples = N = 30 respondents with a 95% confidence level or 5% error obtained r table of 0.361), while the local tax revenue variable (Y2) shows the coefficient value of k the correlation is greater than the value of the correlation coefficient (r) table (r table with the number of samples = N = 30 respondents with a 95% confidence level or 5% error obtained r table of 0.361).

2. Reliability Test Results

a. Taxpayer Compliance Instrument (Y2)

The results of the instrument calculation score (Alpha Cronbach) taxpayer compliance (Y2), = 0.886. The validity of the instrument items is based on the Pearson product moment correlation test and in consultation with the rit table value at a = 0.05 in this case the valid statement is a statement whose correlation coefficient is as follows:

No	Dimension	Indicator	Statement Item I	Sum	
			Before Test	After Test	
1	Taxpayer Compliance	Obligations of Taxpayers in registering	1,2,3,4,5	1,2,3	1
		Compliance to re-submit notification letter	6,7,8,9,10	6,7,8,9,10	-
		Compliance in calculating and paying taxes owed	11,12,13	11,12,13	-
		Compliance in payment of arrears	14,15	15	1
Number of Statement Items		15	13	2	

Table C	Describe of Terr			(1/2) D . f	Test and Aft	
Table 5.	Results of Lax	payer Compi	lance Questions	s (YZ) Before	l lest and Aft	er lest

The final instrument is used in the form of a list of questions or questionnaires that have been tested for validity and reliability. Valid questions are still used and invalid questions are removed. From the results of the validity test of the taxpayer compliance items, it was found that there were 12 valid instruments out of 15 statements. The statements that fall are 3 items, namely statements number 4, 5, and 14.

b. Supervision Instrument (X₁)

Monitoring instrument calculation yields $\alpha = 0,878$, The monitoring instrument was compiled based on predetermined indicators so as to produce a total of 15 statements. To test the validity of the items of the instrument, the instrument was tested on 30 respondents from the West Region Tax UPTD, Cirebon Regency Revenue Management Agency, which were selected by random selection. The validity of the instrument items was based on Pearson's Product moment correlation test and was consulted with the value of $r_{it table}$ at a = 0,05 in this case a valid statement is a statement whose correlation coefficient is as follows:

		Statement Item		
No	Dimension / Indicator	Before Test	Before Test	 Drop/invalid
1	Ensure implementation decisions in accordance with plans, policies and orders	1,2,3,4,5	1,2,3	4,5
2	Supervise the coordination of activities	6,7,8	6,7,8	
3	Prevent wastage and fraud	9,1	9,1	
4	Ensuring the realization of community satisfaction with the goods and services produced	11,12,13	11,12,13	
5	Fostering public trust in organizational leadership	14,15	15	14
	Number of Questions	15	12	3

Table 6. Results of Monitoring Questions Before and After Test

The final instrument is used in the form of a list of questions or questionnaires that have been tested for validity and reliability. Valid questions are still used and invalid questions are removed. From the results of the test of the validity of the items of the supervision instrument, it was found that there were 13 statements out of 15 statements that were valid, and 3 statements that failed, namely statements 4, 5 and 14.

c. Taxpayer Awareness Instrument (X2)

The calculation of the taxpayer awareness instrument $\alpha = 0,772$, the taxpayer awareness instrument (X2) was compiled based on predetermined indicators so as to produce 15 statements to 30 respondents who were randomly selected. The validity of the instrument items is based on the Pearson Product moment correlation test with the value of $r_{it table}$ at a = 0,05 in this case a valid statement is a statement whose correlation coefficient is as follows:

Tabel 7. Hasil Butir Pertanyaan Kesadaran Wajib Pajak (X2) Sebelum Uji dan Setelah Uji						
No	Dimension / Indicator	Statement Item Number	Drop/Invalid			



	-	Before Test	After Test	_
1	Tax is a source of state revenue	1,2,3,4,5	1,2,3,5	4
2	The taxes paid can be used to support the development of the country	6,7,8,9,10	6,7,8,9,10	-
3	State delays can harm the country	11,12,13	11,12,13	-
4	Paying taxes that are not in accordance with the amount that should be paid is very detrimental to the state	14,15	-	14,15
	Number of Questions	15	12	3

The final instrument is used in the form of a list of questions or questionnaires that have been tested for validity and reliability. Valid questions are still used and invalid questions are removed. From the results of the validity test of taxpayer awareness, obtained valid instruments as many as 13 statements out of 15 statements and there are 3 statements that fail, namely statements number 4, 14 and 15.

c. Regional Tax Revenue Instrument (Y1)

The calculation of the regional tax revenue instrument $\alpha = 0,833$, compiled based on predetermined indicators as many as 15 statements were chosen randomly. The validity of the instrument items was based on the Pearson Product moment correlation test and was consulted with the value of $r_{it table}$ at a = 0,05 in this case a valid statement is a statement whose correlation coefficient is as follows

No	Dimension / Indicator	Statement Item I	Dron/Invalid	
NU		Before Test	After Test	
1	Local tax	1,2,3,4,5	1,2,3,5	4
2	Regional Retribution	6,7,8,9,10	6,7,8,9,10	-
3	Results of separated regional wealth management	11,12,13	11,12,13	-
4	Other Legitimate Regional Original Income	14,15	15	14
	Number of Questions	15	13	2

Table 8. Results of Regional Tax Income Questions (Y1) Before the Test and After the Test

The final instrument is used in the form of a list of questions or questionnaires that have been tested for validity and reliability. From the results of the validity test of taxpayer awareness, obtained valid instruments as many as 13 statements out of 15 statements and there are statements that fail, namely statements number 4 and 14.

3. Normality test results

Testing of the normality of the data is carried out using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, to accept or reject the null hypothesis, then the basis for making the decision is the magnitude of probability. If probability > 0.05 Ho is accepted and if probability < 0.05 Ho is rejected.

a. Normality Test With Kolmogorov - Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk Taxpayer Compliance Variable (Y2)

Table 9. Tests of Normality							
	Kolmo	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.	
Local Tax Revenue	.205	30	.002	.908	30	.013	
Supervision	.135	30	.173	.966	30	.433	
Taxpayer Awareness	.186	30	.010	.916	30	.021	
Taxpayer Compliance	.193	30	.006	.881	30	.003	

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

The results of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality calculation for the taxpayer compliance variable (Y2) obtained a value of 0.006 and Shapiro-Wilk obtained a value of 0.003 derived from a normally distributed population as shown in table 9.

•	Table 1	0. Tests of	Normality	/	Ū	
	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Sh	apiro-Wilk	
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Local Tax Revenue	.205	30	.002	.908	30	.013
Supervision	.135	30	.173	.966	30	.433
Taxpayer Awareness	.186	30	.010	.916	30	.021
Taxpayer Compliance	.193	30	.006	.881	30	.003

b. Normality Test With Kolmogorov - Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk Monitoring Variables (X1)

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

Normality Test With Kolmogorov - Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk Taxpayer Awareness Variable (X2) c. Tabla11 Tasta of Na

 Tablet	1. Tests o	of Normality	Y		
Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.



E-ISSN 2962-0953

Local Tax Revenue	.205	30	.002	.908	30	.013
Supervision	.135	30	.173	.966	30	.433
Taxpayer Awareness	.186	30	.010	.916	30	.021
Taxpayer Compliance	.193	30	.006	.881	30	.003

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

d. Normality Test With Kolmogorov - Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk Regional Tax Income Variable (Y1) Tabel 12. Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Local Tax Revenue	.205	30	.002	.908	30	.013
Supervision	.135	30	.173	.966	30	.433
Taxpayer Awareness	.186	30	.010	.916	30	.021
Taxpayer Compliance	.193	30	.006	.881	30	.003

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

4. Linearity Test Results

Linearity test using Test for Linearity with a significance level of 0.05, two variables are said to have a linear relationship if the significance (Li-nearity) is less than 0.05.

a. Linearity Test of Supervision Variables on Taxpayer Compliance

Table 13. ANOVA Table

			Sig.
		(Combined)	.018
	Between Groups	Linearity	.000
		Deviation from Linearity	.388
Supervision	Within Groups		
	Total		

From the output above, it can be seen that the significance value for Linearity is 0.000. Because the significance is less than 0.05, it can be concluded that there is a linear relationship between the Supervision and Taxpayer Compliance variables.

b. Linearity Test of Taxpayer Awareness Variables on Taxpayer Compliance Tabel 14. ANOVA Table

			Sig.
		(Combined)	.003
Local Tax Revenue * Taxpayer Awareness	Between Groups	Linearity	.000
		Deviation from Linearity	.913
	Within Groups		
	Total		

From the output above, it can be seen that the significance value for Linearity is 0.000. Because the significance is less than 0.05, it can be concluded that there is a linear relationship between the variables of Taxpayer Awareness and Taxpayer Compliance.

c. Linearity Test of Taxpayer Compliance Variables on Regional Tax Revenue

			Sig.
		(Combined)	.000
Taxpayer	Between Groups	Linearity	.000
Compliance * Local		Deviation from Linearity	.001
Tax Revenue	Within Groups		
	Total		

Tabel 15. ANOVA Table

From the output above, it can be seen that the significance value for Linearity is 0.000. Because the significance is less than 0.05, it can be concluded that there is a linear relationship between the variables of taxpayer compliance with local tax revenues.

5. Discussion of research results with path analysis (Path Analysis)

The empirical causal relationship framework between paths can be made through the following structural equation:



Figure 9. Structure Relationship X₁, X₂, and Y₁ to Y₂

1. Sub-Structur 1

The Effect of Supervision (X_1) and Taxpayer Awareness (X_2) on Regional Tax Revenue (Y1), the path diagram is as follows:



International Journal of Management, Economic, Business and Accounting (IJMEBA) http://portal.xjurnal.com/index.php/ijmeba **IJMEBA**

Figure 10. Sub-Structure Relationships X_1 and X_2 to Y_1

Based on the results of path analysis calculations assisted by the SPSS 21 program for windows, the following results are obtained:

a. Testing Sub-Structure 1

1. Formulate hypotheses and structural equations

Hypothesis: Taxpayer Awareness and Supervision contribute simultaneously and significantly to Taxpayer Compliance

$$Y_1 = \rho Y_1 X_1 X_1 + \rho Y_1 X_1 X_2 + \rho_{y_1 x_1} \varepsilon_1$$
(1)

2. Calculate the path coefficient based on the regression coefficient

a. Drawing full path



Figure 11. Structure relationship X1 and X2 to Y1

b.

Calculating correlation and regression coefficient Table 16. Correlations							
		Local Tax Revenue	Supervision	Taxpayer Awareness			
	Local Tax Revenue	1.000	.728	.897			
Pearson Correlation	Supervision	.728	1.000	.655			
	Taxpayer Awareness	.897	.655	1.000			
	Taxpayer Compliance		.000	.000			
Sig. (1-tailed)	Local Tax Revenue	.000		.000			
	Supervision	.000	.000				
	Taxpayer Awareness	30	30	30			
Ν	Taxpayer Compliance	30	30	30			
	Local Tax Revenue	30	30	30			

1. Anova (X_1) and (X_2) to (Y_1) , namely the effect of supervision and awareness of taxpayers on taxpayer compliance (model 1 substructure 1).



	Tabel 17. ANOVA ^a							
Model		Sum of	Sum of df Mean Square		F	Sig.		
		Squares						
	Regression	1059.810	2	529.905	70.115	.000 ^b		
1	Residual	204.057	27	7.558				
	Total	1263.867	29					

a. Dependent Variable: local tax revenue

b. Predictors: (Constant), Taxpayer awareness, supervision.

2. Coefficients (X_1) amd (X_2) to (Y_1) , namely the effect of supervision and awareness of taxpayers on taxpayer compliance.

Tabel 18. Coefficients ^a							
Model		Unstand Coeffi	lardized cients	Standardized Coefficients	t		
		В	Std. Error	Beta			
	(Constant)	.035	5.370		.006		
1	Supervision	.267	.111	.247	2.411		
	Taxpayer Awareness	.740	.103	.735	7.178		

a. Dependent Variable: Local Tax Revenue

3. Summary (X_1) and (X_2) to (Y_1) , namely the effect of supervision and awareness of taxpayers on taxpayer compliance.

Table 19. Model Summary ^b									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R	Std. Error		Change S	Statist	ics	
			Square	of the	R Square	F	df1	df2	Sig. F
				Estimate	Change	Change			Change
1	.916 ^ª	.839	.827	2.74912	.839	70.115	2 ^a	27	.000

a. Predictors: (Constant), Taxpayer Awareness, Supervision

b. Dependent Variable: Local Tax Revenue

3. Calculating path coefficient

Individual tests are shown in Table 17 Anova, the research hypothesis to be tested is formulated into the following statistical hypotheses:

$Ha: \rho Y_1 X_1 = \rho Y_1 X_1 \neq 0$	(2)
<i>Ho</i> : $\rho Y_1 X_2 = \rho Y_1 X_2 = 0$	(3)

With the hypothesis in the form of a sentence that is

- Ha : The supervision and awareness of taxpayers contributes simultaneously and significantly to taxpayer compliance.
- Ho : Supervision and awareness of taxpayers does not contribute simultaneously and significantly to taxpayer compliance.

Based on the results of the path analysis test of sub-structure 1 (X1, X2 and Y1) each obtained the following values:

- a. py1x1 = Beta = 0,267 [t= 2,411 and probability (sig) = 0,023]
- b. py1x2 = Beta = 0,740 [t= 7,178 and probability (sig) = 0,000]
- c. The magnitude of the residual coefficient $\rho y1 \epsilon 1 = 1 R^2 = 1 0.839^2 = 0.678$

Thus, the path diagram for sub structure 1 is obtained as follows: $\mathcal{E}_1=0,678$



Figure 12. The empirical causal effect of sub-structure 1 variables X1 and X2 on Y1

a. Examining Sub-Structure 2, the effect of Taxpayer Supervision and Awareness on Regional Tax Revenue and its impact on Taxpayer Compliance.

1. Formulate hypotheses and structural equations

Hipotesis : Pengawasandan Kesadaran Wajib Pajak berkontribusi secara stimultan dan signifikan terhadap Pendapatan Pajak Daerah serta dampaknya pada Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak Taxpayer supervision and awareness contributes simultaneously and significantly to Regional Tax Revenue and its impact on Taxpayer Compliance

The Fomula :

$$Y_2 = \rho Y_2 X_1 \cdot X_1 + \rho Y_2 X_2 \cdot X_2 + \rho Y_2 Y_1 \cdot Y_2 + \rho Y_2 X_2 \cdot \varepsilon_1$$
(4)

2. Calculate path coefficient based on regression coefficient

a. Drawing full path





Figure 13. Sub-structure relationship of 2 variables X1, X2, Y1 to Y2

Information :

- X1 : Supervision
- X2 : Taxpayer Awareness

Y1 :Regional Tax Revenue

Y: Taxpayer Compliance

b. Calculating correlation and regression coefficient

1. Anova (X_1) and (X_2) , to (Y1) and its impact on (Y2), namely the effect of Taxpayer Supervision and Awareness on Regional Tax Revenue and its impact on Taxpayer Compliance.

Table 20. ANOVA [®] (Model 1 – Sub-Structur 2)							
Model		Sum of	Sum of df Mean Square		F	Sig.	
		Squares					
	Regression	1171.439	3	390.480	579.224	.000 ^b	
1	Residual	17.528	26	.674			
	Total	1188.967	29				

.

a. Dependent Variable: Taxpayer Compliance

b. Predictors: (Constant), Taxpayer Compliance, Supervision, Taxpayer Awareness

2. Coefficients (X_1) and (X_2) , to (Y1) and its impact on (Y2), namely the effect of Supervision and Awareness of Taxpayers on Regional Tax Revenue and its impact on Compulsory Compliance Pajak.

Model		Unstandardized		Standardized	t	Sig.
		Coefficients		Coefficients		
		В	Std. Error	Beta		
	(Constant)	.642	1.604		.401	642
1	Supervision	.106	.037	.101	2.902	.106
	Taxpayer Awareness	.140	.052	.144	2.675	.140
	Taxpayer Compliance	.762	.057	.786	13.259	.762

Table 21 Coefficients^a (Model 1 – Sub-Struktur 2)

a. Dependent Variable: Taxpayer Compliance

3. Summary ((X_1) and (X_2) , to (Y1) and its impact on (Y2), namely the effect of Taxpayer Supervision and Awareness on Regional Tax Revenue and its impact on Taxpayer Compliance.

Tabel 22. Model Summary^b (Model 1 – Sub-Struktur 2)

			· Model Sulli			
Model	R	R	Adjusted	Std. Error	Change Statistics	

л	1 100	1 jur	iuury	2022
	E-I	SSN	2962-	0953

		Square	R Square	of the Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1	.993ª	.985	.984	.82106	.985	579.224	3 ^a	26	.000

a. Predictors: (Constant), Local Tax Revenue, Supervision, Taxpayer Awareness

b. Dependent Variable: Taxpayer Compliance

The results of data processing in sub-structure 2, it can be seen that the thickness of 20 to table 22 of the obtained path coefficients is tested as follows:

- a. Simultaneous testing (F test)
- The overall test is shown by the ANOVA table 10. From the table, the F value is 579.224 with a probability value of 0.000. Because the value of 0.05 sig 0.000, the decision is Ha is accepted and Ho is rejected, namely the Supervision and Awareness of Taxpayers on Regional Tax Revenue simultaneously has a significant effect and has an impact on Taxpayer Compliance.
- b. Individual Test (t test)

1. Supervision contributes significantly to taxpayer compliance

Individual tests are shown by table 21 coefficients. The research hypothesis to be tested is formulated in the form of statistical hypotheses as follows:

$Ha: \rho Y_2 X_1 = \rho Y_2 X_1 \neq 0$	(5)
<i>Ho</i> : $\rho Y_2 X_2 = \rho Y_2 X_2 = 0$	(6)

With the hypothesis in the form of a sentence that is:

- Ha : Supervision contributes simultaneously and significantly to Taxpayer Compliance
- Ho : Supervision does not contribute simultaneously and significantly to Taxpayer Compliance

Individually, the statistical test used is the t-test with a value of 2.902 and a significant column in table 21 Coefficients, obtained a sig of 0.007. If the probability value of 0.05 is less than or equal to the probability value of sig (0.05 sig), then Ho is accepted and Ha is rejected, meaning that it is significant. Because the value of 0.05 sig 0.007 then Ha is accepted and Ho is rejected, meaning that the path analysis coefficient is significant. So Supervision contributes significantly to Taxpayer Compliance.

2. Taxpayer Awareness contributes significantly to Taxpayer Compliance

Individual tests are shown by table 21 coefficients. The research hypothesis to be tested is

formulated in the form of statistical hypotheses formulated in formula (5) and formula

- (6) in the form of sentences, namely:
- Ha : Taxpayer awareness contributes simultaneously and significantly to Taxpayer Compliance
- Ho : Taxpayer awareness does not contribute simultaneously and significantly to Taxpayer Compliance



Individually the statistical test used is the t test, the value is 2.675 and in the significant column in table 21. coefficients, the sig is 0.013. If the probability value of 0.05 is less than or equal to the probability value of sig (0.05 sig), then Ho is accepted and Ha is rejected, meaning that it is not significant. Because the value of 0.05 sig 0.013 then Ho is accepted and Ha is rejected, meaning that the path analysis coefficient is not significant. Because the value of 0.05 sig 0.013 then Ho is rejected, meaning that the path analysis coefficient is not significant. So Taxpayer Awareness contributes significantly to Taxpayer Compliance.

- 3. Regional Tax Revenues contribute significantly to Taxpayer Compliance
- Individual tests are shown in table 21. The coefficients of the research hypothesis to be tested are formulated in the form of statistical hypotheses as follows:
- The statistical hypothesis is formulated in formula (5) and formula (6) with the hypothesis in the form of a sentence, namely:
- Ha : local tax revenues contribute simultaneously and significantly to taxpayer compliance
- Ho: local tax revenue does not contribute simultaneously and significantly to taxpayer compliance
 - a. Individually, the statistical test used is the t-test, with a value of 13,259 and the significant column in table 21. coefficients, obtained a sig of 0.000. If the probability value of 0.05 is less than or equal to the probability value of sig or (0.05 sig), then Ha is accepted and Ho is rejected, meaning that it is significant. Because the value of 0.05 sig 0.000 then Ha is accepted and Ho is rejected and Ho is rejected, meaning that the path analysis coefficient is significant. Because the value is 0.05 sig 0.750, then Ha is accepted and Ho is rejected, meaning that the path analysis coefficient is rejected, meaning that the path analysis coefficient is significant. Because the value is 0.05 sig 0.750, then Ha is accepted and Ho is rejected, meaning that the path analysis coefficient is significant. So Regional Tax Revenues contribute significantly to Taxpayer Compliance. Based on the results of the analysis of path sub-structure 2 (X1; X2; Y1 and Y2), each obtained value:
 - b. py2x1 = Beta = 0,101 [t = 2,902 and probability (sig) = 0,007]
 - c. $\rho y 2x2 = Beta = 0,144 [t = 2,675 and probability(sig) = 0,013]$
 - d. ρy2y1 = Beta = 0,786[t = 13,259 and probability(sig) = 0,000]
 - e. The magnitude of the residual coefficient $\rho y2 \epsilon 2 = 1 R^2 = 1 0.985^2 = 0.029$

Thus the path diagram for sub-structure 2 is obtained as follows:





Figure 14. Causal Empirical Relationship of Sub-Structures 2 Variables X1, X2, and Y1 to Y2 Based on the results of the path coefficients in sub-structure 1 and sub-structure 2, it can be described as a whole which describes the empirical causal relationship between variables X1, X2 and Y1 to Y2 as follows:



Figure 15. Empirical Causal Relationship of Variables X1, X2 and Y1 to Y2

The results of the path coefficients in sub-structure 1 and sub-structure 2 turn into a structural equation, namely:

Sub-structur 1 : Y1 = $py1x1 X1 + py1x2 X2 + py1 \epsilon 1$ = 0,267 X1 + 0,740 X2 + 0,678 $\epsilon 1$ Sub-structur 1 : Y1 = $pyx1 X1 + pyx2 X2 + pyY1 Y1 + py2 \epsilon 2$ = 0,101X1 + 0,144 X2 + 0,786 Y1 + 0,029 $\epsilon 2$

Explanation of sub-structure 1 and sub-structure 2, namely:

Sub-structure 1

Simultaneously the supervision and awareness of taxpayers has a significant effect on taxpayer compliance. The magnitude of the simultaneous effect is 0.916 or 91.6% which is the contribution of Taxpayer Supervision and Awareness to Taxpayer Compliance. While the remaining 8.4% is influenced by other factors.

Partially, supervision has a significant effect on taxpayer compliance. The direct effect of Supervision on Regional Tax Revenue is $(0.267)2 \times 100\% = 0.071 \%$. Thus the Regional Tax Revenue is influenced by the Supervision variable of 7.1% while the remaining 0.109 or 10.9% is influenced by other factors.

Partially, taxpayer awareness has a significant effect on taxpayer compliance. The magnitude of the direct effect of Taxpayer Awareness on Regional Tax Revenue is (0.740)2 X 100% = 0.547%. Thus, the local tax revenue carried out by tax officials is influenced by 54.7% of taxpayer awareness while the remaining 0.453 or 45.3% is influenced by other factors.

Sub-structure 2

Simultaneously, the effect of X1 and X2 on Y1 and their impact on Y2 is 0.993 or 99.3%, while the remaining 0.7 or 7% is influenced by other factors.

Directly Supervision has a significant effect on Taxpayer Compliance. The direct effect of Supervision on Taxpayer Compliance is $(0.101)2 \times 100\% = 0.010 \%$, meaning that the level of Taxpayer Compliance is influenced by Supervision of 0.010 % while the remaining 0.090% is influenced by other factors.

Taxpayer Awareness directly has a significant effect on Taxpayer Compliance. The magnitude of the direct effect of Taxpayer Awareness on Taxpayer Compliance is $(0.144)2 \times 100\% = 0.020\%$ meaning that the level of Taxpayer Compliance is influenced by Taxpayer Awareness of 0.020% or 20% while the remaining 0.080% or 80% is influenced by other factors.

Directly, Regional Tax Revenues have a significant effect on Taxpayer Compliance. The magnitude of the direct influence of Regional Tax Revenue on Taxpayer Compliance is $(0.786)2 \times 100\% = 0.617\%$ meaning that the level of Taxpayer Compliance is influenced by Regional Tax Revenue of 0.617 or 61.7% while the remaining 38.3% is influenced by other factors.

Indirect influence and total influence

Indirect influence (ϵ 1) X₁ and X₂ to Y through Y₁ = ρ y₁x₁ x ρ y₁x₂ = (0,267) x (0,740) = 0,209 (20,9 %) so the total effect is (ρ y₁x₁)² + ϵ 1 = 0,209² + 0,678 = 0,721 (72,1 %).

a. Indirect influence (ϵ 1) X1 and X2 to Y1 through Y2= $\rho y_2 x_1 \times \rho y_2 x_2 \times \rho y_2 y_1 = (0,101) \times (0,144) \times (0,786) = 0,009$ (9%) so the total effect is $(\rho y_2 x_1 2)^2 + \epsilon 1 = 0,009^2 + 0,029 = 0,029(29\%)$.

The above discussion is summarized through the following table:

	Table 23	Influence betv	ween research va	ariables		
Variable	Path		Simultan			
	Coefficient	Direct	Indirect trought Y ₁	Total	effect (R ²)	
Sub-structur 1	(X ₁ , X ₂ ke Y ₁)					
X ₁ (ρy ₁ x ₁)	0,267	0,111 %	-	0,378%		
X ₂ (ρy ₁ x ₂)	0,740	0,103 %	-	0,843%	0,839 (83,9%)	
ε ₁	0,678	-	-	-		
Sub-structur 2	(X ₁ , Xs ₂ , Y ₁ ke Y ₂	,)				



CONCLUSION

Research on the Effect of Supervision and Awareness of Taxpayers on Increasing Regional Tax Revenue and Its Impact on Taxpayer Compliance in the Western Region Tax UPTD, Regional Revenue Management Agency of Cirebon Regency, with respondents in this study totaling 30 respondents, the following conclusions were obtained:

- a. In path analysis regression equation $Y_1 = py_1x_1 X_1 + py_1x_1 X_2 + py_1x_1 \varepsilon_1$ namely in substructure model 1, simultaneously supervision and awareness of taxpayers has a significant effect on increasing local tax revenues, amounting to 0.916 or 91.6%, the remaining 8.4% is influenced by other factors.
- b. b. in substructure model 1, partially supervision has a significant effect on increasing local tax revenues, amounting to $(0.267)^2 \times 100\% = 0,071\%$, of 7.1% while the remaining 0.929 or 92.9% is influenced by other factors.
- c. in substructure 1, partially Taxpayer Awareness has a significant effect on increasing Regional Tax Revenue, by $(0.740)^2$ X 100% = 0,547 %. Thus, the increase in Regional Tax Revenue carried out by Bappeda is influenced by Taxpayer Awareness by 54.7% while the remaining 0.453 or 45.3% is influenced by other factors.
- d. In path analysis regression equation: $Y_2 = py_2x_1 X_1 + py_2x_2 X_2 + py_2y_1 Y_1 + py_2y_1 \varepsilon_2$ In substructure model II, simultaneously, the effect of X1 and X2 on Y1 and its impact on Y2 is 0.993 or 99.3%, while the remaining 0.007 or 7% is influenced by other factors.
- e. in model 1 substructure II, direct supervision has a significant effect on taxpayer compliance, amounting to $(0.259)^2 \times 100\% = 0.010$ it means that the level of taxpayer compliance is not influenced by supervision of 0.010 while the remaining 0.090 is influenced by other factors.
- f. in model 1 substructure II, taxpayer awareness directly has a significant effect on taxpayer compliance, amounting to $(0.786)^2$ X 100% = 0.617 it means that the level of taxpayer compliance is influenced by taxpayer awareness of 0.083 while the remaining 32.3% is influenced by other factors.
- g. In model 1 substructure II, an increase in local tax revenue directly has a significant effect on taxpayer compliance, amounting to $(0.144)^2$ X 100% = 0.020 it means that the level of taxpayer compliance is influenced by an increase in regional tax revenue of 0.020 or 20% while the remaining 80% is influenced by other factors.

REFERENCES

Arnold, David. 2006. Pedoman Manajemen Merek. Surabaya: Kentindo soho.

- Ali Maksum. 2012. *Metodologi penelitian dalam olahraga*. Surabaya: Unesa University Press
- A.Muri Yusuf. 2005. *Metodologi Penelitian (Dasar-Dasar Penyelidikan Ilmiah)*. Padang: UNP Press
- Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2010. Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek. Jakarta: Penerbit Rineka Cipta
- Abdul Halim. 2007. Akuntansi Sektor Publik Akuntansi Keuangan Daerah, Edisi Revisi, Jakarta, Salemba Empat

Arikunto, Suharsimi, 2006, Prosedur Penelitian, Jakarta, Bina Aksara

Azwar, Saifuddin, 2009, Metode Penelitian, Yogyakarta, Pustaka Pelajar

David Arnold, 2006, Pedoman Manajemen Merek, Surabaya: Kentindo soho

Deddy Mulyadi., Rivai, Veithzal,. 2006. *Kepemimpinan dan Perilaku organisasi*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers

Bungin, Burhan. 2005. Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif. Jakarta: Prenada Media

- Christoper H. Lovelock dan Lauren K. Wright 2005, *Manajemen Pemasaran Jasa*, Jakarta: Indeks
- Darmawan, Deni. 2014. Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif. Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya
- Etta Mamang Sangadji dan Sopiah 2013, *Perilaku Konsumen, Pendekatan Praktis,* Yogyakarta: Andi.
- Ghozali, Imam. 2013. Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program SPSS 21 Update PLS Regresi. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro
- Hermawan, Asep. 2005. *Penelitian Bisnis Paradigma Kuantitatif.* Jakarta: PT. Grasindo Husein. 2003. *Metode Riset Bisnis.* Jakarta: PT. Gramedia Pustaka Utama
- Hasibuan, Melayu SP. 2004, Manajemen Dasar, *Pengertian dan masalah*, Bumi Aksara, Jakarta
- Harahap. 2004. Paradigma Baru Perpajakan Indonesia. BPFE Yogyakarta
- Imam Ghozali. 2005. *Analisis Multivariat dengan Program SPSS edisi ke-3*. Semarang: Badan Penerbit UNDIP.
- Ivancevich, M., dkk. 2006. Perilaku dan Manajemen Organisasi. Jakarta: Erlangga
- Iqbal Hasan. 2009. Pokok-pokok Materi Statistik I. Cetakan Keenam. Jakarta : PT. Bumi Aksara
- Indra, Bastian. 2010. Akuntansi Sektor Publik. Jakarta: Erlangga
- Jogiyanto, Hartono. 2004. *Metodologi Penelitian Bisnis,Salah Kaprah dan Pengalaman-Pengalaman.* Yogyakarta: BPFE Yogyakarta
- Juliandi, Azuar, Irfan dan Saprinal Malurung. 2014. *Metodologi Penelitian Bisnis Konsep dan Aplikasi.* Medan: Umsu Press
- Kusdi. 2011. Budaya Organisasi. Jakarta: Salemba Empat
- Kotler, Philip dan Kevin Lane Keller. 2008. Manajemen Pemasaran. Jakarta: PT. Indeks
- Kenneth C. Laudon dan Jane P. Loudon, 2008, Sistem Informasi Manajemen, Jakarta: Salemba Empat,

Kartono, 2005 *Psikologi Social Untuk Manajemen, Perusahaan dan Industri,* Bandung: Rajawali Pers

Kartono, Kartini, 2009, Pemimpin dan Kepemimpinan, PT. Raja Grafindo Persada, Jakarta

- Laudon, Kenneth C. dan Jane P. Loudon. 2008. *Sistem Informasi Manajemen.* Jakarta: Salemba Empat
- Lovelock, Christoper H. dan Lauren K. Wright. 2005. *Manajemen Pemasaran Jasa.* Jakarta: Indeks

Maturidi, Ade Djohar. 2014. *Metode Penelitian Teknik Informatika*. Yogyakarta: Deepublish Mardiasmo. 2009. Perpajakan. Edisi Revisi 2009. Yogyakarta : Andi

Mauludi, Ali. 2016. Teknik Belajar Statistika 2. Jakarta: Alim's Publishing

Misbahuddin dan Iqbal Hasan. 2013. Analisis Data Penelitian dengan Statistik. Jakarta: PT. Bumi Aksara

- Muchson, M. 2017. Metode Riset Akuntansi. Jakarta: Spasi Media
- Mardiasrno. 2002. Otonomi dan Manajemen Keuangan Daerah. Yogyakarta: ANDI
- Nugroho J. Setiadi. 2003, Perilaku Konsumen: Konsep dan Implikasi untuk Strategi dan Penelitian Pemasaran, Jakarta: Kencana,
- Nasution, S. 2000. Metode Research. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara
- Pabundu. 2010. Budaya Organisasi dan Peningkatan Kinerja Karyawan. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara
- Philip Kotler dan Kevin Lane Keller, 2008, *Manajemen Pemasaran*, Jakarta: PT. Indeks Roscoe.2002. Metode *Simple Random Sampling*
- Robbin, S. P. 2002. *prinsip-prinsip perilaku organisasi*. Edisi kelima. Alih Bahasa: Halida, S.E., & Dewi S.S.S. Jakarta :Erlangga
- Robbins, Stephen P. 2006. Perilaku Organisasi, Jakarta: Gramedia
- Robbin, S. P. 2008. *Organizational Behavior* : Edisi Kedelapan. New Jersey : Englewood Cliffs, Prentice Hall Interbational
- Siagian, Sondang. 2003. Organisasi, Kepemimpinan dan Perilaku Adminsitrasi, PT. Gunung Agung, Jakatra

Safroni, Ladzi. (2012). Manajemen dan Reformasi Pelayanan Publik dalam Konteks Birokrasi Indonesia. Yogyakarta: Aditya Media Publishing

- Sangadji, Etta Mamang dan Sopiah. 2013. Perilaku Konsumen, Pendekatan Praktis. Yogyakarta: Andi
- Sekaran, Uma. 2006. Research Method for Bussines. Jakarta: Salemba Empat
- Setiadi, Nugroho J. 2003. Perilaku Konsumen: Konsep dan Implikasi untuk Strategi dan Penelitian Pemasaran. Jakarta: Kencana
- Sondang P Siagian. 2005. Teori Pengembangan Organisasi. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara

Siagian, S.P., 2008. Manajemen Stratejik. Jakarta. Bumi Aksara.

Sri Porwani. 2010. "Pengaruh Budaya Organisasi Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan". Jurnal. Palembang: Politeknik Darussalam Palembang

Suharsimi Arikunto. 2002. *Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek*. Jakarta: PT. Rineka Cipta

Sukandarrumidi. 2006. *Metodologi penelitian prtunjuk praktis untuk peneliti pemula.* Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press

Sutarto, 2006. Dasar-dasar Organisasi. Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press

Sugiyono. 2002. Metode Penelitian Administrasi. Bandung: Alfabeta

Sugiyono. 2009. Stattstik untuk Penelitian. Bandung: Alfabeta

Sugiyono. 2011. *Metodologi Penelitian Administrasi dilengkapi dengan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta

Sugiyono. 2012. *Metodologi Penelitian Kuantitatif kualitatif dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta

Sugiyono. 2013. Cara Mudah Menyusun Skripsi, Tesis, dan Disertasi. Bandung: Alfabeta.

Sugiyono. 2015. Metode Penelitian Kombinasi Mixed Methods. Bandung: Alfabeta

Suharsono, Puguh. 2009. *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif untuk Bisnis.* Jakarta: PT.Indeks Permata Puri Media

Sujianto, Agus Eko. 2009. Aplikasi Statistik SPSS 16.0. Jakarta: Prestasi Pusaka

Simamora Henry, 2001 Manajemen Sumberdaya Manusia , Yogjakarta: STIEN, YPKN

Sterrs, R.M., 2007. Organizational Effectiveness A Behavioral View, Terjemahan PPM, Santa Monica California: Goodyear Publishing Company, Inc.

Sudjana, 2004, Manajemen Program Pendidikan Untuk Pendidikan Non Formal dan Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia. Bandung. Falah Production,

Sudjana. 2002. Metode Statistika. Bandung: Tarsito

Suharsimi, A. 2002. Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek. Jakarta : Rineka Cipta

Terry, G.R. 2005. The Principles of Maagement. Thrd Edition. Homewood Illinois: Richard Irwin.

Wibowo. 2007. Manajemen Kinerja. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers

Wibowo. 2010. Budaya Organisasi. Jakarta: PT Raja Grafindo Persada

Wirawan. 2009. Evaluasi Kinerja Sumber Daya Manusia. Jakarta: Salemba Empat

Zulfikar. 2016. Pengantar Pasar Modal dengan Pendekatan Statistika. Yogyakarta: Deepublish